IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL REVN. APPLICATION NO.134 OF 2003

Shivshankar Basappa Rampure ... Applicant

versus

Shivananda Irappa Birajdar & anr... Respondents

...

Mr.Samji Joseph, with Mr. B.G. Vaidya, for the Applicant.

Mr.P.P. Hudlikar with Mr. Ujwal Agandsurve, for the Respondent No.1.

Mr. V.B.Konde Deshmukh, A.P.P., for the Respondent No.2.

...

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.

10th March 2005

P.C.:

. Heard Counsel for the parties. I see no reason to exercise revisional jurisdiction in the fact situation of the present case. The decision of the appellate Court is a well-considered

judgment. The view taken by the appellate Court is a possible view. Nothing has been brought to my notice, which will persuade me to take the view that the finding reached by the appellate Court with regard to appreciation of evidence is manifestly wrong or error apparent on the face of the record. If it is so, there is no reason to interfere in exercise of revisional jurisdiction, more so when the challenge is to an order of acquittal. Hence, dismissed.

(A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.)